

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,987	02/05/2004	Wu Rong Zhang	70030756-2	7135
7590 08/04/2006			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			BAKER, STEPHEN M	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2133	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,987	ZHANG, WU RONG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen M. Baker	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No.  2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This  3)  Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "repeat a first parity bit stream of the incoming code stream" is confusing for implying that the "incoming code stream" includes a "parity bit stream" or omits an essential parity generator, and apparently should read as "repeat a first parity bit stream generated from the incoming code stream" or the like; "repeat a second parity bit stream of the incoming code stream" apparently should read as "repeat a second parity bit stream generated from the incoming code stream" or the like; "its parity bit streams" apparently should be "the parity bit streams."

In claim 6, "a first parity bit stream of the incoming code stream" apparently should read as "a first parity bit stream generated from the incoming code stream" or the like; "a second parity bit stream of the incoming code stream" apparently should read as "a second parity bit stream generated from the incoming code stream" or the like.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,751,772 to Kim *et al* (hereafter "Kim").

With reference to Fig. 5 of Kim, separate repeaters (231, 232, 233) are provided for each output bit stream of a turbo code encoder (220) including the "incoming code stream" (C1k), a "first parity bit stream" (C2k), and a "second parity bit stream" (C3k).

5. Claims 1-3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,000,173 to Buckley *et al* (hereafter "Buckley").

With reference to Fig. 4 of Buckley, separate repeaters (RM SYS, RM P1-2, RM P1-2) are provided for each output bit stream of a turbo code encoder (208) including the "incoming code stream" (SYS), a "first parity bit stream" (P1), and a "second parity bit stream" (P2).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4, 5 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections of the base claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claims.

#### **Conclusion**

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Baker whose telephone number is (571) 272-3814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00 AM - 7:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Stephen M. Baker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133

smb